**IAJC/ATMAE Conference Paper Rating Form**

Please review this paper critically; your professional judgment will help maintain the quality and credibility of our conferences. This review will also be used after the conference for decisions on publishing associated papers in our journals. If you have serious questions or concerns about this paper, it is preferable to reject it at this stage of the review process. Keep in mind that a copy of your review will be sent to the author(s), so please be specific in your comments and mark-ups as an aid to the author(s) for possible revisions.

**ALL CORRESPONDENCE WILL BE VIA EMAIL.**

**NOTE: Please do NOT use snail mail or fax to return any files to me. A version of both this form and your electronically “marked-up” version of the paper will be sent to the author(s).**

1. Complete this electronic form and send it via email to me. Please be careful to respond to each question or statement on this form, even if you feel the options do not allow for a perfect response.
2. This step is not required, but both I and the authors of the paper you are reviewing find it extremely helpful to get your written comments on the actual paper itself. To do this, once you have downloaded the paper you plan to review, save it temporarily on your computer, turn on the “track changes” feature in *Word* (under the Review tab), then add any revisions or make any comments you wish directly on the document itself. When finished, send a copy of the “marked-up” paper to me via email.

**Philip D. Weinsier**

**2020 IAJC Conference General Chair**

**Email:** **philipw@bgsu.edu**

**The number of the paper I am reviewing is:**

**The title of the paper I am reviewing is:**

**Please provide a single response to EACH AND EVERY question on this form.**

1. Is the subject of this paper of interest to the readers of [ ] YES [ ] NO

this journal?

1. Is the subject related to fields in engineering or [ ] YES [ ] NO

engineering technology?

1. Does the paper present new or innovative ideas or [ ] YES [ ] NO

material?

1. If the subject of the paper is technical, does the paper [ ] YES [ ] NO

represent current technology?

1. Is the information in the paper sound, factual, and [ ] YES [ ] NO

accurate? If you answered NO, please explain.

1. Does the paper cite and use appropriate references? [ ] YES [ ] NO

1. Is the paper written in a scholarly tone? [ ] YES [ ] NO

1. Is the treatment of the subject complete (no important [ ] YES [ ] NO

ideas, analyses, or information omitted)?

1. Should anything be deleted from or condensed in the [ ] YES [ ] NO

paper? If YES, please explain.

1. Rate the paper on its contribution to the body of knowledge for fields

associated with or related to engineering or engineering technology.

[ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No Contribution**  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Critically Important Contribution** |

1. Rate how well the authors present their ideas.

[ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Very Difficult to Understand**  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Very Easy to Understand** |

1. Rate the overall quality of the writing.

[ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]  [ ]

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Very Poor**  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | **Excellent** |

All 2020 IAJC/ATMAE conference full papers are eligible and being reviewed for publication in the IAJC conference proceedings and journals.

**Based on your review, should this conference paper be accepted for publication in**

YES NO

**THE CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS ?** [ ] [ ]

YES NO

**THE IAJC JOURNALS ?** [ ] [ ]

If you answered NO to either of the two questions above, please provide specific, detailed reasons in the “Comments” section below.

**COMMENTS**: